

30 APR 1955 25X1

multi

1965 APR 29 20 41 Z

B.	2843
----	------

1. FOOTAGE MARKER ON SAMPLE FILM IS SATISFACTORY; HOWEVER, SUGGEST PLACING ON EDGE OF FILM OPPOSITE DATA BLOCK RATHER THAN ON DATA BLOCK EDGE AS MARKER OCCASIONALLY OBLITERATES TIME WORD WHICH IS NEEDED IN EXPLOITATION.

2. QUALITY OF "EYEBALL JUDGMENT" PRINTING LEVEL COPIES IS ADEQUATE FOR PI. OF THE TWO SAMPLES, THE MORE DENSE DUP POS WAS PREFERRED BY PI.

3. QUESTION IS RAISED REGARDING SECURITY CLASSIFICATION APPEARING ON SUCH FILM. IS CLASS ON HEAD AND TAIL SUFFICIENT OR SHOULD CLASS BE INCLUDED ALONG WITH FOOTAGE MARKS?

S E C R E T

/END OF MESSAGE/

[illegible]

1

SPRINGER

GROUP 1 25X1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification